# A REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT

Department of Highways
Professional Services Procurement Bulletin 2013-05
Meade/KY 79/4-8705.00

This document constitutes a Request for Proposals for Personal Service Contract from qualified individuals and organizations to furnish those services as described herein for the Commonwealth of Kentucky, Department of Highways.

# I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

County - Meade Route – KY 79 Item No. 4-8705.00 Project Description – Reconstruct KY 79 from KY 144 to KY 1051

# II. PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Manager – Brad Bottoms, P.E.
User Division - Highway Design
Approximate Fee - \$400,000 Preliminary Engineering and Final Design (Lump Sum Fee)
Project Funding – SPP (State Funds)
Project Length – Approximately 3.83 miles

#### **III. PURPOSE AND NEED**

Purpose: To improve the safety, mobility and connectivity between Irvington and Brandenburg. Need: KY 79 is a direct connection from US 60 in Irvington to the Bypass (KY 1051) in Brandenburg and on to Indiana. The lane and shoulder widths are not adequate for the classification of roadway. There are a variety of crash types throughout the corridor, especially at KY 1051 and Turner Road. Very high truck percentage.

#### IV. DBE REQUIREMENT

None

#### V. SCOPE OF WORK

Conduct preliminary Engineering Studies to identify appropriate typical section, line and grade, and dependable cost estimates. The selected consultant will be required to provide engineering services to perform Preliminary Engineering and Final Design; including drainage design, right-of-way plans, construction plans, traffic control plans and cost estimates.

## VI. SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS

The Department may retain any of the services advertised in this document to be performed by in-house state forces.

Instructions for Response to Announcement can be found at: http://transportation.ky.gov/Professional-Services/Pages/Respond-to-an-Announcement.aspx

## VII. AVAILABLE STUDIES

<u>District 4 – 2012 – DNA Planning Studies</u>

Traffic Forecast Requested

## VIII. METHOD OF DESIGN

The selected consultant shall utilize the most recent CADD Standards for Highway Plans Policy in the development of the highway plans.

# IX. ENVIRONMENTAL

The Department will provide all necessary Environmental Services required for this project.

# X. PHOTOGRAMMETRIC SERVICES

The department will provide existing aerial imagery and mobile mapping survey data. The Consultant may be required to perform incidental survey work to supplement the provided survey.

#### XI. STRUCTURE DESIGN

No structure design is anticipated with this project.

# XII. GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES

The Department will provide all Geotechnical Services for the project.

#### XIII. TRAFFIC

Traffic projections and related information will be provided by the Department.

## XIV. <u>UTILITY DESIGN</u>

The Department will provide any Utility related services for the project.

#### XV. PREQUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

To respond to this project, the consultant project team must be prequalified in the following areas by the date of this advertisement.

#### **ROADWAY DESIGN**

- Rural Roadway Design
- Surveying

# XVI. PROCUREMENT SCHEDULE

Dates other than Response Date are tentative and provided for information only.

RESPONSE DATE • December 12, 2012 4:30 p.m. E.S.T. (Frankfort time)

FIRST SELECTION COMMITTEE • December 18, 2013

SECOND SELECTION COMMITTEE • January 8, 2013

PRE-DESIGN CONFERENCE • January 14, 2012

TENTATIVE DEADLINE FOR CONSULTANT FEE PROPOSAL • February 6, 2013

CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS • February 20, 2013

NOTICE TO PROCEED • March 20, 2013

#### XVII. PROJECT SCHEDULE

PRELIMINARY LINE AND GRADE • August 1, 2013

FINAL JOINT INSPECTION • March 1, 2014

RIGHT-OF-WAY/UTILITY PLANS • July 1, 2014

FINAL PLANS • January 1, 2015

#### XVIII. EVALUATION FACTORS

Consultants will be evaluated by the selection committee based on the following, weighted factors:

- 1. Relative experience of consultant personnel assigned to project team with highway project for KYTC and/or federal, local or other state governmental agencies. (10 Points)
- 2. Capacity to comply with project schedule. (10 Points)
- 3. Past record of performance on projects similar in type and complexity. (10 Points)
- 4. Project approach and proposed procedures to accomplish the services for the project. (10 Points)
- 5. Consultant's offices where work is to be performed. (2 Points)

For state-funded projects, if a Selection Committee vote results in a tie between two (2) firms, one (1) of which will perform more of the work tasks in Kentucky than the other, then the former firm shall be ranked one (1) place ahead of the latter.

# XIX. SELECTION COMMITTEE MEMBERS

- 1. Brad Bottoms, P.E., User Division
- 2. Brad Eldridge, P.E., User Division
- 3. Carl Van Zee, P.E., Secretary's Pool
- 4. Tim Pyles, P.E., Secretary's Pool
- 5. Brad Rister, Governor's Pool

